IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 694 of 1990

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.H.WAGHELA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

BHAILALBHAI N PATEL

Versus

GUJ. AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY

Appearance:

MR BP TANNA for Petitioner

NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 1, 3

MR SN SHELAT for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.H.WAGHELA

Date of decision: 20/04/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. Heard Mr.Kariyal for Mr.B.P.Tanna, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Majgavkar for Mr.S.N.Shelat, for the respondent. After arguing at some length the learned counsel for the petitioner has fairly conceded

the fact that the petitioner has retired and that the non supply of the Inquiry Report in question was in a period when the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the Ramzankhan Case was not published, otherwise, the petition involves a petty and belated claim which need not be entertained at this stage. Accordingly, the petition is disposed as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

(D. H. WAGHELA, J.)

kks